https://www.newspapers.com/image/191409639



https://www.newspapers.com/image/191409642

## **Dioxins/**Chemical is byproduct of burning, industrial processes

From A-

amount is too much

Still, dioxin isn't nearly as prevalent in the river as PCBs—the toxic chemicals driving cleanup plans and prompting the EPA to propose the river as a Superfund priority site.

"From a relative risk perspective, even though PCBs are 10 to 100 times less toxic than dioxins, we've got so many of those things floating around out there that the real risk driver is PCBs, Paulson sald. "Plus, if you deal with the exposure to the PCBs effectively, in essence we're going to deal with the exposure to anything else, including dioxin."

Unintended product

Unine PCBs, which were mannifactured for use in carbonless copy paper and electrical transformers until they were banned in the 1970s, dioxin is an unintentional byproduct of poorly controlled burning, fossil fuel combustion and certain industrial processes.

The chemicals are formed in the manufacture of certain chio-rine-containing chemicals such as pesticides and in the incineration of trash containing plastics and paper. Use of the herbicide Agent Orange to defoliate trees during the Vietnam War and the ensuing health problems reported by veterans exposed to the chemical, is probably the most widely known insoldent involving discrete.

incident involving dioxin.
The chemical has figured prominently in paper-making discharged by kraft pulp milli using chlorine to bleach paper. Al though papermaking contributed dioxin to the Fox River, the pollution didn't originate from bleach in paccause the majority of area

mills specialize in de-inking and recycling as opposed to pulping.

Fort James Corp. spokesman Mark Lindley said both of the company's Green Bay mills are free of elemental chlorine, instead using hydrogen peroxide and calcium hypochloride for bleaching.

"The kraft pulp bleaching process is where the issues lie, and with recycled papers it's just no an issue. Although you migh have a little residual from the paper you're recycling, it's as small, it's nondetectable." he said noting dioxin levels in the mills discharges measure in parts per quadrillion.

Fariand of the EPA said the paper industry made great strides in curbing its chlorine use during the 1980s. Many have switched to using chlorine dioxide, which produces substantially less dioxin.

"The question of course is, what does it take and what is the advantage of going totally chlorine-free?" Farland asked.

In the case of the Fox River, dioxin was created during the production of PCBs for carbonless copy paper. The chemical also followed other routes to the river, carried through the air and in chlorinated pesticides like DDT.

"We had a big DDT problem in the bay, so dioxin could have gotten there from any number of those sources." Paulson said.

The good news from the EPA' draft reassessment is that dioxis emissions from all sources have fallen precipitously in the last dozen years.

But dioxin continues to be re leased from a variety of source The unregulated backyard bur barrel is a significant source, sai individually to emit as much diox in as a municipal incinerator.

Because dioxin resists breakin down, once in the environment,

icals travel up the food chain a are stored away in the fat tiss and breast milk of both wildl and people.

## Nine-year effor

The EPA has been working since 1991 with government and nongovernment scientists to better understand what happens once dioxin enters the human body.

That year, around the same time the agency was moving to enforce strict limitations to dioxin discharges, the EPA launched its reassessment of dioxin's toxicity. The study was sought by paper and other industry officials who argued that the latest research was showing dioxin to be less toxic than previously thought.

But the agency's first draft reassessment, released in 1994, found just the apposite

In the months following that release, the EPA was ordered to conduct further studies — the results of which were released last month for public review. The current draft characterizes dioxin as even more toxic, based on the evolving science regarding the chemical.

The new revised estimates for cancer risk in the general human population range from 1 in 100 to 1 in 1,000, up from the 1 in 1,000 to 1 in 10,000 estimated in the 1994 Prassessment

Fetuses, infants and children are believed more sensitive to dioxin than adults because of their rank development.

Although the EPA report states that there currently is "no clear indication" of increased disease



Photo courtery Richter Museum of Naturul History Researchers found this commorant leat week on Cat Island at the mouth of the bay of Green Bay. Its crossed bill is associated with exposure to dioxin, dioxin-like PCBs and furans, chemicals found in the bay and the Fox River.

in the general population attributable to dioxin and dioxin-like compounds, Farland said some of the chemicals' noncancer effects may already be observable in children.

"With the noncancer effects you're talking about affecting development." he said.

## Effects wielkie

Because animals can be used as test subjects, dioxin's effects on wildlife is better documented, both in the field and in the leb

The EPA's reassessment holds little surprise for Tom Erdman, curator of the Richter Museum of Natural History at the University of Wiesonin Cross Per

Wisconsin-Green Bay

doing pelican research on Cat Island in lower Green Bay when he stumbled upon what has been an all-too familiar sign of chemical contamination on the bay: a black cormorant with a badly twisted back.

Bird-banding records on the bay date back to 1926, he said, but no deformities were recorded until the 1970s — around the time that DDT was first turning up in eggshells.

Everything we have seen in the field has now been duplicated in the lab with dioxin-like PCBs." Erdman said. "Crossed bills, edema, splayed legs, bone deformities ... all are associated with dioxin and dioxin-like PCBs and fivener."